[redacted]

**From:** [redacted]
**Sent:** 16 September 2026 07:40
**To:** Crown Casework
**Cc:** calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
**Subject:** Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester

[redacted]

Dear Secretary of State,

I am a local resident in the [redacted] and I am writing to object to the planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843. I am asking that the planning permission for the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE is refused.

There is evidence in the application that many factors have not been properly considered or consulted, as would be expected on any other application. One major issue is the flooding, with drainage issues not being resolved in a way you'd expect for any other kind of planning application. I don't believe the knock on impact on the wider community has been considered here at all.

I am also a public transport user, including walking and cycling. On the turn into Ambrosden (which goes towards Arncott and then Piddington) from Ocado (which is the route to Bicester), there is a mile long approx. path with no lighting. Currently as a female traveling alone, I feel fine making that journey. However, if you bloat the population to the numbers you are saying, where there are more asylum seekers than people who actually live in the village, that will change. A constant rotation of asylum seekers, with no vetting, who are in limbo whilst they wait for processing and therefore not looking to integrate will not be primarily interested in working for the good of the community.

The sheer scale of the proposal is actually incredible and I ask if you can honestly say this is fair and proportional? I also question the need for it, if numbers of asylum seekers are decreasing as you regularly keep telling the public?

We live in a rural location and there is little surrounding infrastructure that it simply doesn't make sense that this is the best proposal to best serve those who really need it, as a well as the local community.

I thought this government was supposed to act in the best interests of the people, and the way that this proposal has been handled, and indeed the proposal itself in terms of scale and inadequate proportionality of the local area, shows the complete opposite. I voted in good faith for a Labour government in the last election, but what I have seen is an quite simply an attack on the people, which is disappointing to say the least.

I request that planning permission be refused.

Best wishes,

[redacted]

Sent from Outlook for Android